



Smart policies, strong utilities, sustainable services

WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES IN THE DANUBE REGION



BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA COUNTRY NOTE

A State of the Sector | May 2015

danube-water-program.org | danubis.org



KEY WATER AND SANITATION SECTOR CHALLENGES

- Establishing tariffs based on cost recovery principle. Water utilities do not generate sufficient revenues to ensure proper maintenance and sustainability of infrastructure as tariffs hardly cover operating and maintenance costs. As a result, tariffs need to be set according to sound cost recovery principles, especially since the investments required to upgrade the existing infrastructure will generate an increase in operational costs.
- Increasing wastewater treatment coverage to fight health and environmental issues. The number and standards of sewerage systems and wastewater treatment plants in BiH are unsatisfactory and are causing serious threats to human health and to the environment.
- Improving staff competencies and operational efficiency of utilities. The lack of qualified staff in water and wastewater utilities is problematic, and improvement in this regard is vital for comprehensive efficiency improvements in the water sector.
- Improving water quality and treatment of drinking water in rural areas. Turbidity, bacteriological contamination due to human activities, and the presence of chemicals (iron and manganese, among others) are making water undrinkable in rural areas.



FURTHER RESOURCES

On water services in the Danube Region

- A regional report analyzing the State of Sector in the region, as well as detailed country notes for 15 additional countries, are available at SoS.danubis.org
- Detailed utility performance data are accessible, if available, at www.danubis.org/eng/utility-database

On water services in Bosnia and Herzegovina

The following documents are recommended for further reading; the documents, and more, are available at www.danubis.org/eng/country-resources/bosnia-and-herzegovina

- ▶ EC. 2010. IPA Support to Bosnia and Herzegovina Water Policy. Brussels: European Commission.
- FMPVS. 2012. Water Management Strategy of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Sarajevo: Ministry of Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- HEIS & PR. 2011. General Assessment of the Water Supply Sector and its Human Development Function in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Sarajevo: Hydro-Engineering Institute Sarajevo and PRISM Research.
- MSPCEE & MinAg. 2012. Integrated Water Management Strategy of the Republic of Srpska. Banja Luka: Ministry of Physical Planning, Civil Engineering and Ecology & Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management of the Republic of Srpska.
- > VM. 2011. Water Policy in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Sarajevo: Council of Ministers of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Acknowledgments. This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on part of The World Bank concerning legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

This note has been prepared by Maria Salvetti, consultant, with support from Igor Palandžić, World Bank, based on the data collection by Nebojša Budović and Selim Babić, local consultants. It is part of a regional State of the Sector review led by David Michaud, World Bank, under the Danube Water Program financed by the Austrian Ministry of Finance, whose support is gratefully acknowledged. The authors welcome comments and can be contacted through David Michaud (dmichaud@worldbank.org).

Rights and Permissions. The material in this work is subject to copyright. Because The World Bank encourages dissemination of its knowledge, this work may be reproduced, in whole or in part, for noncommercial purposes as long as full attribution to this work is given. Any queries on rights and licenses, including subsidiary rights, should be addressed to the Office of the Publisher, The World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2422; e-mail: *pubrights@worldbank.org.*



WATER SNAPSHOT

Sources for all numbers in the snapshot are provided in full in the body of this country page; a complete description of the methodology is provided in the State of the Sector Regional Report, at SoS.danubis.org.

	Value	Year	Danube average	Danube best practice
Context	for Serv	vices		
GDP per capita, PPP [current international \$]	9,632	2013	16,902	n.a.
Population [M. inh]	3.829	2013	8.451	n.a.
Poverty headcount ratio [\$2.50 a day [PPP] [% of pop]]	0.40	2007	1.65	n.a.
Local government units [<i>municipalities</i>]	142	2009	1,987	n.a.
For which, average size [inh]	26,967	2013	4,253	n.a.
Total renewable water availability [<i>m³/cap/year</i>]	9,781	2008- 2012	7,070	n.a.

Organization of Services

Number of formal water service providers	142	2014	661	n.a.		
Average population served	15,641	2013	9,496	n.a.		
Water services law?	No					
Single line ministry?	Yes [Ministry of Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry in FBiH & Ministry of Spatial Development, Civil Engineering and Ecology in RS]					
Regulatory agency?	No					
Utility performance indicators publicly available?	No					
Major ongoing reforms?		Ν	lo			

Access to Services

Access to piped water (%)	88	2012	83	100
Access to flush toilet (%)	91	2012	79	99

Performance of Services

Service continuity [hours/day]	-	—	20	24
Nonrevenue water [<i>m³/km/d</i>]	30	2013	35	5
Water utility performance index [WUPI]	52	n.a.	69	94

Financing of Services

Operating cost coverage	0.97	2007	0.96	1.49
Average residential tariff [€/m³]	0.61	2012	1.32	n.a.
Share of potential WSS expen- ditures over average income [%]	_	_	2.6	n.a.
Average annual investment [€/cap/year]	7	n.a.	23	n.a.

Access to services: average and bottom 40%



Sector Structure



Sustainability Assessment



 Bosnia and — Danube average — Danube best practice Herzegovina

Based on normalized indicators, closer to the border is better

Sector Sustainability Value	Danube Average	Danube best practice	
Assessment	57	64	96

CONTEXT FOR SERVICES

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best		
Socioeconomic Situation								
Population [M. inhabitants]	2013	World Bank 2015	3.829	3.053	8.451	n.a.		
Population growth [compound growth rate 1990–2013] [%]	1990- 2013	World Bank 2015	-0.72	-0.33	-0.37	n.a.		
Share of urban population [%]	2013	World Bank 2015	39	51	63	n.a.		
GDP per capita, PPP [current international \$]	2013	World Bank 2015	9,632	11,154	16,902	n.a.		
Poverty headcount ratio [\$2.50 a day [PPP] [% of pop]]	2007	World Bank 2015	0.40	3.55	1.65	n.a.		
Adn	ninistrati	ve Organizat	ion					
No. of local government units [municipalities]	2009	UNDP 2009	142	85	1,987	n.a.		
Av. size of local government units [inhabitants]	2013	Authors' elab.	26,967	35,850	4,253	n.a.		
	Water F	Resources						
Total renewable water availability [m³/cap/year]	2008- 2012	FAO Aquastat 2015	9,781	8,128	7,070	n.a.		
Annual freshwater withdrawals, domestic [% of total withdrawal]	—	_	_	18	26	n.a.		
Share of surface water as drinking water source [%]	2014	ICPDR 2015	19	42	31	n.a.		

Economy. Bosnia and Herzegovina (BiH) faces the dual problem of rebuilding a war-torn country and introducing transitional liberal market reforms to its formerly mixed economy. The BiH economy relies heavily on the export of metals, energy, textiles, and furniture; industry represents 26.4% of GDP. The complex government structure hampers economic policy coordination and reform, while excessive bureaucracy and a segmented market discourage foreign investment, which has dropped sharply since 2007. The BiH private sector is growing slowly and government spending remains high, at roughly 40% of GDP. The country was affected by significant floods in May 2014, which generated an estimated US\$1 billion in economic losses and damage. The unemployment rate is very high, at 44%, which restricts domestic private demand. The BiH population is composed of 48% Bosniaks, 37.1% Serbs, 14.3% Croats, and 0.6% minorities. More than half of the 3.8 million inhabitants live in rural areas, and agriculture accounts for nearly 20% of the occupied labor force and 8% of GDP. BiH has, since 2003, been recognized by the EU as a "potential candidate country" for accession, and became a full member of the Central European Free Trade Agreement in September 2007. It takes part in the Stabilization and Association Process, and a bilateral agreement was signed in 2008 and ratified in 2010, but has not yet come into force (CIA 2015).

Governance. Bosnia and Herzegovina is a federal republic structured around several administrative and political levels.

The state is composed of two entities, the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina (FBiH) and the Republic of Srpska (RS), and one district, the Brčko District. The FBiH, which covers 51% of the country's territory and has 2.4 million inhabitants, is divided into 10 cantons and 79 municipalities. The Republic Sprska, which covers 49% of the territory, has 63 municipalities and 1.33 million inhabitants. The Brčko District, located in the north of the country, was created in 2000 out of territory from both FBiH and RS and has 87,000 inhabitants. It officially belongs to both, but is governed by neither, and functions under a decentralized system of local government. Municipalities are in charge of water and wastewater services provision, with the exception of Sarajevo Canton, which has responsibility for water supply and sanitation services. (UNDP 2009)

Water resources. With 9,781 m³/capita/year, BiH has abundant water resources, but they are unevenly distributed

throughout the country. The waters of BiH are split between the Danube River Basin District and the Adriatic Sea Basin. A large part of the watercourses in BiH are international waterways; the Sava forms the country's border in the north, the Una partly demarcates its western border, and the Drina makes up most of the country's eastern border. The total renewable water resources in BiH of 9,781 m³/capita/year are unevenly distributed (FAO Aquastat 2015).



The areas where water is scarce are those where it is most needed, such as the sub-basin of the Bosna River, which is densely populated and contains a large concentration of industries. The water scarcity period is June to September, when water is most needed by the population and for irrigation purpose.

The most densely populated areas are also where water is most polluted, and many are not equipped with treatment systems. Surface water quality is a concern, particularly with regard to nutrient pollution in the Danube Basin. The entire Danube Basin and limited parts of the Adriatic Basin are classified as "sensitive areas" as defined by the EU Water Framework Directive. In addition, rainfall is highly seasonal, and in the summer some watercourses, especially on the Adriatic side, have significantly decreased runoffs. Heavy seasonal rainfalls can also produce severe flooding episodes causing widespread power outages, landslides, and damage to the infrastructure affecting water and wastewater services and resulting in serious drinking water shortages. Although climate change is expected to have a negative effect on both water availability and water demand, no specific strategies have been implemented.

Water supply sources. Most of the drinking water comes from groundwater sources. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, groundwater provides 81% of total drinking water consumption (ICPDR 2015). With regard to the Water Framework Directive objective of good status, 6 groundwater bodies of the 22 in the country are considered to be "at risk" due to human intervention, 4 are at risk in terms of both quantity (due to overextraction) and quality, and the rest are threatened with quality risk only (ICPDR 2007). Industries in BiH mainly use water from their own sources and only partly from the public water supply system. Industrial production is greatly reduced compared to the beginning of the 1990s (around 35% of prewar capacity) (NEAP 2003). As a result, water consumption in the industrial sector has been reduced, as has effluent production. The use of fertilizers and pesticides in agriculture has also declined.

ORGANIZATION OF SERVICES

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best
Number of formal water service providers	2014	UPKP 2015 & Gov. RS 2015	142	75	661	n.a.
Average population served [inhabitants]	2013	Authors' elab.	15,641	28,963	9,496	n.a.
Dominant service provider type			Municipa	al		
Service scope		Water and sanitation				
Ownership		Local government units				
Geographic scope			One to a few	cities		
Water services law?			No			
Single line ministry?		Yes [FMF	PVS in FBiH &	MSPCEE in I	RS]	
Regulatory agency?			No			
Utility performance indicators publicly available?	No					
National utility association?		Yes [UPKP for I	FBiH / utility s	ervices & VF	S for RS]	
Private sector participation		Limited 1	to a few small	water servio	ces	

Service provision. Local governments are responsible for water and sanitation services and provide them

through 142 public utility companies. With an average population served of 15,641, the water sector in BiH is dominated by six large water and sanitation services providers in the cities of Sarajevo and Mostar serving about 13% of the population. Small and medium-size municipal water utilities serve about 45% of the population. The rest of the population relies on self-provision (Figure 1). Utility companies provide both water and sewerage services, except in larger cities, where separate utility companies operate. According to the report "Water Policy in Bosnia



and Herzegovina" (VM 2011), the public water supply systems managed by municipal water supply utilities cover 58% of the population in BiH (60% in the FBiH and 57% in RS).

Policy-making and sector institutions. The sector is controlled and regulated at different administrative levels. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, local water and wastewater service providers are regulated and

controlled by institutions operating at the national, river basin, and local levels. Despite the existence of two clear line ministries, some overlaps can be noted:



- The Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Relations is the competent state-level ministry for BiH in charge of policy making, and coordinating and harmonizing the activities of both FBiH and RS in the areas of agriculture, energy, environmental protection, development, and exploitation of natural resources and tourism.
- The Environmental Protection Fund has a crucial role in financing activities regarding environmental protection, including water preservation and protection from flooding.
- The Ministry of Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry in FBiH and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management in RS are the line ministries responsible for water policies in their respective entities. These Ministries have legislative competence in the water sector and supervise the implementation of laws concerning the water sector.
- The Ministry of Spatial Development, Civil Engineering and Ecology in RS is responsible for supervising and monitoring activities related to public communal services, including drinking water and wastewater collection and treatment. It is also in charge of overseeing the implementation of laws on communal affairs.
- The Ministry of Environment and Tourism in FBiH is responsible for supervising and monitoring activities related to local public services, including drinking water and wastewater collection and treatment.
- The Water Agencies, created under the 2006 Water Act, grant and control water extraction and discharge rights, collect the corresponding fees, and invest them in sector-related projects. They are also in charge of flood protection policy. There were three Water Agencies, one in RS and two in FBiH (in the Sava catchment and in the Adriatic catchment). But in 2012, the status of the Water Agency in RS changed and it became a public utility called "Vode Srpske."
- The Institutes of Public Health in RS and FBiH are responsible for monitoring drinking water quality.
- The Cantonal Ministries are responsible for tariff supervision in the FBiH, whereas tariff oversight remains under the umbrella of municipalities in RS. In FBiH, cantons are responsible for administrative supervision of communal affairs and of water management at the local level.



FIGURE 2: WATER SERVICES SECTOR ORGANIZATION IN FBIH





FIGURE 3: WATER SERVICES SECTOR ORGANIZATION IN RS

SOURCE: AUTHORS' ELABORATION.

Capacity and training. International organizations are key players in staff capacity building. Water services management staff are appointed by municipal representatives without specific qualification or experience requirements. This results in significant turnover according to political cycles, and appointment of management personnel who lack appropriate skills and competencies. Currently, water utilities do not conduct staff training programs except in the framework of cooperation projects funded by donors. Development of human resources led by trained experts is not considered a high priority, and the current financial situation in water utilities excludes the possibility of implementing staff training and capacity-building policies. Water utilities suffer from a lack of skilled staff for both management and technical positions. The association "Vodovodi Republike Srpske" (Waters of Srpska Republic), created in 2007, provides limited technical assistance to water stakeholders and promotes knowledge exchange activities. In FBiH, the national municipal utility association covers multiple services and is not specifically water oriented. In addition, the association for water and environmental protection, sector "Aquasan Network in B&H" conducts capacity building for professionals from both entities including exchange of knowledge, experience, and information sharing with a focus on water and wastewater management.

Economic regulation. Water tariffs are supervised at the local level. The water sector in BiH is regulated through a public self-regulated model, since neither a national regulation agency nor an official national benchmarking system has been implemented. Tariffs are revised upon request of utilities. The new tariff proposal must then be approved by municipal authorities in RS, and by municipalities and cantonal authorities in FBiH. By law, water utilities must provide an audit of their financial reports certified by an independent auditor, but this obligation is neither fully nor regularly implemented by all water utilities. The results of such audits for the FBiH are not available to the public, whereas the results for RS can be found on the stock exchange website.

Ongoing or planned reforms. A water strategy has not been fully implemented on the ground yet. In 2011, BiH developed a strategic document entitled "Water Policy in BiH" (VM 2011), which identifies water management priorities through 2035. These priorities target water use (improvement of coverage and public water supply systems while ensuring sustainable use of water), protection against floods, and wastewater treatment. However, the effective implementation of those strategic objectives is still partial, and there are important gaps remaining between written priorities and action on the ground. As a result, only about 56% of strategies and organizational structures prescribed for monitoring and managing water supply have been implemented (UNDP 2009). Finally, UNDP is providing specific technical support through joint programs to explore different models of establishing a more formal regulatory framework in both entities (UNDP, MDG-F).

ACCESS TO SERVICES

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best		
Water Supply								
Piped supply – average [%]	2012	Authors' elab.	88	89	83	100		
Piped supply – bottom 40% [%]	2012	Authors' elab.	81	81	76	100		
Piped supply – below \$2.50/day [PPP] [%]	—	-	-	73	61	100		
Including from public supply – average [%]	2011	VM 2011	58	71	74	99		
	Sanitatio	n and Sewera	age					
Flush toilet – average [%]	2012	Authors' elab.	91	90	79	99		
Flush toilet – bottom 40%	2012	Authors' elab.	82	81	70	98		
Flush toilet – below \$2.50/day [PPP] [%]	—	-	—	76	54	100		
Including with sewer – average [%]	2012	BHAS 2013	31	53	66	94		
	Wastew	ater Treatme	nt					
Connected to wastewater treatment plant [%]	2011	FMOIT 2015	3	9	45	95		

Services coverage. BiH has traditionally enjoyed a good level of service, with 88% for access to piped water and 91% for flush toilets (Figure 4).

with 88% for access to piped water and 91% for flush toilets (Figure 4). Access to publicly provided services is lower, at 58% for public water supply and 31% for sewerage. Only 3% of the population is connected to wastewater treatment plants.

Data Availability

There is no reliable, country-wide, publicly available source of information on water services performance in BiH.

Equity of access to services. Access to water and flush toilets is problematic for the Roma population. Access

to piped water and flush toilets is high for the bottom 40% of the population (81% and 82%, respectively). But the Roma population is marginalized and mainly lives in informal settlements that lack basic facilities, including access to potable water. Access to drinking water within close proximity to schools in rural areas and to units of disabled persons is limited compared to that for other persons.



FIGURE 4: ACCESS TO WATER AND SANITATION: TOTAL POPULATION, BOTTOM 40% OF THE POPULATION

SOURCE: AUTHORS' ELABORATION, VM 2011, BHAS 2013 AND FMOIT 2015.



Service infrastructure. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, most of the water and sanitation infrastructure dates back to the former Yugoslavia era. Many water supply facilities were constructed more than 35 years ago. The plant technology is simple. In RS, the water network was mainly constructed of asbestos and cement (now discarded in modern water systems), and is extremely liable to cracking and significant leakage. Of the existing wastewater treatment plants, only a few are fully functioning. The Trebinje treatment plant, which is working effectively, plays a key role in protecting drinking water for the Dubrovnik area of Croatia. The sewerage network is approximately 2,700 km long in the FBiH and 1,600 km long in RS. Half the system combines storm water and sewage collection, and half is separate. Existing data lack details on the type of sewerage system, its material, year of construction, and extent of household connections. The weak financial situation of most water utilities does not allow for preventive maintenance to be performed at levels required to prolong the life of existing facilities. Due to constrained financial resources, water utilities can undertake network repairs only in cases of emergency.

Value	Va	lue	Veer	Courses	
value	Water	Wastewater	rear	Source	
Number of treatment plants	59	8	2011	Eurostat 2014	
Length of network [km]	16,291	4339	2013	FZS 2014 & RZS BiH 2014	
Average connections per km of network	41	70	2013	FZS 2014 & RZS BiH 2014	

PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES Service Quality

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best
Residential water consumption [liters/capita/day]	2012	FZS 2015	168	165	122	n.a.
Water supply continuity [hours/day]	—	-	-	19	20	24
Drinking water quality [% of samples in full compliance]	2011	HEIS & PR 2011	79	83	93	99.9
Wastewater treatment quality [% of samples in full BOD5 compliance]	—	-	—	n.a.	79	100
Sewer blockages [number/km/year]	—	-	—	9.3	5.0	0.2
Customer satisfaction [% of population satisfied with services]	2013	Gallup 2013	76	63	63	95

Quality of service. Drinking water quality remains an issue. Average water consumption in Bosnia and Herzegovina is 168 liters per capita per year. Generally, water utilities are successful in maintaining a 24-hour water supply of adequate quality. However, there are still interruptions of service, the frequency and duration of which depend on regional and seasonal contexts. Twenty-one percent of water samples fail tests for drinking water sanitary standards. The number of tested samples is insufficient, and laboratories are equipped for only standard chemical and microbiological analyses. As a result, parameters such as heavy metals, pesticides, phenols, and mineral oils, among others, cannot be controlled. Whereas water utilities have defined a first sanitary protection zone around their water sources, they almost never implement a secondary protection area. As a result, preventive measures to preserve water resources quality are minimal or nonexistent. Moreover, in rural areas, they produce drinking water using chlorination, which is performed manually or sometimes badly performed. However, in urban water supply systems, disinfection is provided mainly by automatic gas chlorinators.



Customer satisfaction. According to the 2013 Gallup poll, 76% of the population is satisfied with the service provided in their city (Gallup 2013). This number comports with the average satisfaction rate in the region. Water utility relations with customers are mostly directed to dealing with complaints rather than improving customer satisfaction. No customer satisfaction survey has ever been conducted in the country.



FIGURE 5: EVOLUTION OF BILLING COLLECTION RATE IN A SUBSET OF FBIH UTILITIES

SOURCE: FZS 2015.

Efficiency of Services

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best
Nonrevenue water [%]	2013	FZS 2014 & RZS BiH 2014	55	50	35	16
Nonrevenue water [m3/km/day]	2013	FZS 2014 & RZS BiH 2014	30	41	35	5
Staff productivity [water and wastewater] [number of employees/1,000 connections]	2010	HEIS & PR 2011	15.8	11.5	9.6	2.0
Staff productivity [water and wastewater] [number of employees/1,000 inh. served]	2010	Expert estimate	3.5	2.4	1.6	0.4
Billing collection rate [cash income/billed revenue] [%]	2014	FZS 2015	85	85	98	116
Metering level [metered connections/connections] [%]	2011	HEIS & PR 2011	82	81	84	100
Water Utility Performance Index [WUPI]	n.a.	Authors' elab.	52	59	69	94

Overall efficiency. The efficiency of public water and sanitation service providers is a continuing issue in BiH. Before 1991, maintenance and repair were not carried out systematically on water supply systems, and during the war they often did not function. In some areas, the war caused serious destruction to networks and water supply facilities. Nonrevenue water ranges from 30 to 75% in the water supply systems for households, and is 55%, on average, which is much higher than best practices in Western Europe. Water metering covers 82% of households, but a significant number of water meters are outdated or were damaged during the war and do not function properly. Some utilities have intensive water meter replacement programs, since the inability to measure water consumption has financial and billing consequences. The billing collection rate in 2014 was 85%. Public institutions, such as military institutions, hospitals, schools, and government institutions, are bad payers, and utilities almost never recover those outstanding receivables. With an average staffing ratio of 15.8 employees per 1,000 connections (with a range from 3 to 26), the sector is less productive than international best practices of 1 and 2. The limited productivity is largely a consequence of the atomization of the sector and, to some extent, the direct control exerted by local government authorities over utility staffing and management.

Recent trends. No significant progress has been made on the efficiency agenda over the last 10 years. Most of the indicators mentioned above show no significant improvement, except in the collection rate, which appears to have steadily increased over the last decade to 85% (Figure 5), which has allowed utilities to generate more revenues to cover their costs. This evolution is correlated with an improving metering level, which enables a more accurate measure of water consumption.

FINANCING OF SERVICES

Sector Financing

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best					
Sources of Financing											
Overall sector financing [€/capita/year]	Authors' elab.		23	29	62	n.a.					
Overall sector financing [share of GDP] [%]	Authors' elab.		0.33	0.34	0.45	n.a.					
Percentage of service cost financed from tariffs	Authors' elab.		71	67	67	n.a.					
Percentage of service cost financed from taxes	Authors' elab.		16	17	12	n.a.					
Percentage of service cost financed from transfers	Authors' elab.		13	16	22	n.a.					
Service Expenditure											
Average annual investment [share of overall sector financing] [%]	Authors' elab.		28	32	38	n.a.					
Average annual investment [€/capita/year]	Authors' elab.		7	9	23	n.a.					
Estimated investment needed to achieve targets [€/capita/year]	2011-2035	VM 2011	40	37	43	n.a.					
Of which, share of wastewater management [%]	Authors' elab.		62	70	61	n.a.					

Overall sector financing. Tariffs are the dominant funding source of the sector (Figure 6). They hardly cover operation and maintenance expenses, which represent 72% of sector costs. Subsidies from the national budget are therefore necessary to fund investments. Transfers appear to be limited, at only 13% of sector funding.

The main sources of funding of water and wastewater utilities in BiH are described in Figure 7, using the OECD three Ts methodology (tariffs, transfers, and taxes).

Investment needs. Over the next 20 years, $\{3.66 \text{ billion} of investment will be needed in the water and wastewater sector in BiH. According to the Water Sector Strategy documents and forecast, <math>\{3.66 \text{ billion} in investments will be needed over the next 20 years to achieve EU environmental acquis compliance, with 38% going to water projects and 62% to wastewater management (Figure 8). This represents an average of <math>\{40\)$ capita/year, almost 7 times the current level of $\{7\)$ capita/year. (VM 2011)

Investments. The EU has been a key donor for water sector investments since 1998. Few data are available regarding past investments in the water sector in BiH. Key features of European assistance include support to integrated water resources management based on the river basin model, and assistance preparing and implementing water legislation in line with the wastewater treatment directive and the water framework directive, as well as funding of water and wastewater investments.

FIGURE 6: OVERALL UTILITY SECTOR FINANCING IN 2012



SOURCE: AUTHORS' ELABORATION.



FIGURE 7: MAIN SOURCES OF FUNDING OF WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES IN BIH



SOURCE: AUTHORS' ELABORATION.



FIGURE 8: NEEDED INVESTMENTS OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS, AND USES, BIH

SOURCE: VM 2011.

Indicator	Year	Source	Value	EU cand. average	Danube average	Danube best					
Cost Recovery											
Average residential tariff [incl. water and wastewater] [€/m³]	2012	Expert estimate	0.61	0.57	1.32	n.a.					
Operation and maintenance unit cost [€/m³]	Authors' elab.		0.46	0.45	1.20	1.20					
Operating cost coverage [billed revenue/operating expense]	2007	IBNet 2015	0.97	1.01	0.96	1.49					
Affordability											
Share of potential WSS expenditures over average income [%]	-	-	_	1.6	2.6	n.a.					
Share of potential WSS expenditures over bottom 40% income [%]	-	-	_	2.5	3.8	n.a.					
Share of households with potential WSS expenditures above 5% of average income [%]	_	_	_	1.6	14.1	n.a.					

Cost recovery. Water utilities hardly cover their operational costs with tariffs, and fall short of funding for investment projects. Moreover, an important share of utility companies receives operational subsidies, because tariffs are too low to cover their operational costs. The lack of funding for water services is largely attributable to their limited autonomy and dependence on municipalities, which are not favorable to tariff increases. Water is considered a social good, so its price is kept low. Significant cross-subsidies exist between residential and industry tariffs, with industrial tariffs being 50% higher than residential tariffs.

Tariffs. Tariffs increased 6% per year between 2005 and 2012, and will continue to do so in the near future. The majority of water utilities bill their customers based on volume consumed, and almost all water services measure the consumption of large commercial/industrial customers. For households, if the utility does not have functional water meters for private houses or apartment buildings, the tariff is based either on an assessment of the expected consumption of the household or on a flat rate per person. In most cases, a VAT (17% to the total amount), water protection, and water abstraction fees are added to the bills. In addition to the fee based on the amount of consumed water, there is a monthly fee for water meter maintenance for all customers, levied on the basis of water meter diameter. Average residential tariffs are $0.61/m^3$, which are lower than the regional average. Tariffs increased on average by 6% per year between 2005 and 2012 (Figure 9), while the annual inflation rate was 2.8% (World Bank 2015). They are expected to continue to increase.



FIGURE 9: EVOLUTION OF AVERAGE TARIFF (ABSOLUTE AND SHARE OF POTENTIAL EXPENDITURE IN INCOME)

Affordability. Due to a lack of data, the average share of water and wastewater expenditure of household income could not be appraised. As a result, the affordability of water could not be characterized or analyzed.



WATER SECTOR SUSTAINABILITY AND MAIN CHALLENGES

In order to evaluate the sustainability of services in the region, an overall sector maturity assessment was done taking into account four main dimensions: access to services, quality of services, efficiency of services, and financing of services. Each of these dimensions is measured through three simple and objective indicators.

For each indicator, best practice values are established by looking at the best performers in the region, and countries closest to those best performers are deemed to have a more mature sector. A more complete description of the methodology to assess sector maturity is included in the Annex of the State of the Sector Regional Report from the Danube Water Program. The outcomes of this assessment for the Bosnia and Herzegovina water sector are displayed in Figure 10, which also shows average and best practices in the Danube region. The BiH sector maturity score is 57, which is close to the Danube average maturity of 64. The assessment shows that, on average, the country performs well in terms of access to piped water and flush toilets, and customer satisfaction. With regard to the BiH water sector, the main deficiencies identified through the sector maturity assessment are level of investment, staffing level, and wastewater treatment coverage (Figure 10).



The main sector challenges are:

- Establishing tariffs based on cost recovery principles. Water utilities do not generate sufficient revenues to ensure proper maintenance and sustainability of infrastructure as tariffs hardly cover operating and maintenance costs. For many utilities, subsidies from local budgets are needed to cover routine operation and maintenance costs. This situation threatens the long-term sustainability and good performance of water and wastewater services. To reverse this trend, tariffs need to be reviewed and set according to sound cost recovery principles, especially since the investments required to upgrade the existing infrastructure will generate an increase in operational costs.
- Increasing wastewater treatment coverage to fight health and environmental issues. The number and standards of sewerage systems and wastewater treatment plants in BiH is unsatisfactory. Attention has been predominantly focused on large cities (Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Mostar, Zenica, Bijeljina, Bihac, etc.), with inadequate attention being paid to smaller settlements, where 20% of the population lives. Settlements with more than 2,000 inhabitants typically lack sufficient sewerage connections, and untreated effluent is discharged into streams, with serious threats to human health and the environment. (UNDP/GEF DIKTAS 2012)
- Improving staff capacity and operational efficiency of utilities. The lack of qualified staff in water and wastewater utilities is problematic, and improvement in this regard is vital for comprehensive efficiency in the water sector. The larger water utilities generally have sufficient skilled employees, and are provided with the necessary equipment



to efficiently manage the service. But the smaller utilities are frequently struggling due to weak organizational structures, lack of skilled professionals, limited staff capacities, and a lack of basic equipment for network mapping, leak detection, accounting, and water quality monitoring and metering. As a result, the operational efficiency of those services (nonrevenue water, billing ratio, operating cost coverage, for example) is low and could be improved.

Improving water quality and treatment of drinking water in rural areas. Turbidity, bacteriological contamination due to human activities, and the presence of chemicals (iron and manganese, among others) are making water undrinkable in rural areas. Chlorination of raw water has limited results, and treatment installations to tackle water turbidity are rare in BiH. The presence of bacteria (Escherichia and Streptococcus, among others) sometimes exceeds allowed post-treatment values, and residual chlorine borders mandatory standards. Alternative suitable methods to solve bacteriological contamination should be considered through water treatment infrastructure upgrading and implementation of new treatments (such as ozone application). Insufficient and inadequate monitoring of drinking water quality also needs to be addressed.

SOURCES

- BHAS. 2013. Statistical Bulletin No. 13. Sarajevo: Agency of Statistics of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- CIA. 2015. Central Intelligence Agency The World Factbook. Accessed 2015. https://www.cia.gov/library/ publications/the-world-factbook.
- Eurostat. 2014. European Commission Directorate-General Eurostat: Statistics Explained - Water Statistics. Accessed 2015. http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statisticsexplained/index.php/Water_statistics.
- FAO Aquastat. 2015. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations - AQUASTAT Database. Accessed 2015. http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/data/ query/index.html?lang=en.
- FMOIT. 2015. Ministry of Environment and Tourism of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
 Accessed 2015. http://www.fbihvlada.gov.ba/english/ ministarstva/okolis_turizam.php.
- FZS. 2014. Statistical Yearbook. Sarajevo: Institute for Statistics of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- –. 2015. Institute for Statistics of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Accessed 2015. http://www. fzs.ba.
- Gallup. 2013. World Poll. Accessed 2015. http://www. gallup.com/services/170945/world-poll.aspx.
- Gov. RS. 2015. Government of the Republic of Srpska: Water Balance in Republic of Srpska 2011. Accessed 2015. http://www.vladars.net.
- HEIS & PR. 2011. General Assessment of the Water Supply Sector and its Human Development Function in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Sarajevo: Hydro-Engineering Institute Sarajevo and PRISM Research.
- IBNet. 2015. The International Benchmarking Network for Water and Sanitation Utilities. Accessed 2015. http://www.ib-net.org.

- ICPDR. 2007. Danube Facts and Figures Bosnia and Herzegovina. Vienna: International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River.
- –. 2015. International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River. Accessed 2015. http://www.icpdr.org.
- MSPCEE & MinAg. 2012. Integrated Water Management Strategy of the Republic of Srpska. Banja Luka: Ministry of Physical Planning, Civil Engineering and Ecology & Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management of the Republic of Srpska.
- NEAP. 2003. National Environmental Action Plan of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Sarajevo: National Environmental Action Plan Directorate of Bosnia nad Herzegovina.
- RZS BiH. 2014. Statistical Yearbook. Banja Luka: Institute of Statistics of the Republic of Srpska.
- UNDP. 2009. GoAL WaSH Programme Governance, Advocacy and Leadership for Water, Sanitation and Hygiene - Bosnia and Herzegovina. New York City: United Nations Development Programme.
- UNDP/GEF DIKTAS. 2012. National Report Bosnia-Herzegovina. New York City: United Nations
 Development Programme/Global Environment Facility
 Protection and Sustainable Use of the Dinaric Karst Aquifer System.
- UPKP. 2015. Association of the Employers of Utility Companies in Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Accessed 2015. http://www.upkp.com.ba/cv.htm.
- VM. 2011. Water Policy in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Sarajevo: Council of Ministers of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- World Bank. 2015. World Development Indicators. Accessed 2015. http://databank.worldbank.org/data/ views/reports/tableview.aspx.



BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA COUNTRY NOTE





International Association of Water Supply Companies in the Danube River Catchment Area The World Bank / IAWD Danube Water Program supports smart policies, strong utilities, and sustainable water and wastewater services in the Danube Region by partnering with regional, national, and local stakeholders, promoting an informed policy dialogue around the sector's challenges and strengthening the technical and managerial capacity of the sector's utilities and institutions.



Smart policies, strong utilities, sustainable services

www.danube-water-program.org | office@danube-water-program.org

www.danube-water-program.org | www.danubis.org | SoS.danubis.org